## **REMARKS**

In an Office Action dated December 13, 2010, the Examiner rejected claims 1-10 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as indefinite; rejected claims 1-4, 9, 11-13, 18, 24-26, 32, 34-36, 41, 46, and 48-53 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as anticipated by Dowling et al. (U.S. Patent 6,522,875); and rejected claims 5-8, 10, 14, 15, 17, 19, 28-31, 33, 37, 38, 40 and 42 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over *Dowling*.

While applicant does not necessarily concede that claim 1 as previously presented is indefinite, in order to reduce issues for appeal herein, applicant has amended claim 1 to explicitly qualify the "information" recited at lines 12 and 13 as "order information". This clarification resolves any perceived ambiguity.

No other amendments are made to the claims. Appellant will address the prior art rejections in an Appeal Brief to be filed herein.

In view of the foregoing, appellant respectfully requests entry of the accompanying amendment for purposes of reducing issues in the present appeal. In addition, the Examiner is

Docket No.: RO998-238 Serial No.: 09/238,821 encouraged to contact appellant's attorney by telephone if there are outstanding issues left to be resolved.

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH PHILLIP BIGUS.

By:\_\_\_\_\_ Roy W. Truelson

Registration No. 34,265

Telephone: (507) 289-6256 (Office)

(507) 202-8725 (Cell)

Docket No.: RO998-238 Serial No.: 09/238,821